Pupil premium strategy

1. Summary information					
School Biddick Hall Junior School					
Academic Year	2020/2021	Total PP budget	£172'125	Date of most recent PP Review	
Total number of pupils	198	Number of pupils eligible for PP	128	Date for next internal review of this strategy	Jan 21

2. Current attainment		
	Pupils eligible for PP Year 6 July 2019 (35)	Pupils not eligible for PP Year 6 July 2019 (22)
% achieving Expected Level in reading	97	96
% achieving greater depth standard in reading	46	50
% achieving Expected Level in writing	97	91
% achieving greater depth standard in writing	34	55
% achieving Expected Level in GPS	86	91
% achieving greater depth standard in GPS	32	48
% achieving Expected Level in maths	97	96
% achieving greater depth standard in maths	31	59
3. Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)		,
In-school barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor of	ral language skills)	

A.	SEN – High percentage of our disadvantaged children have SEN needs	
B.	Resilience - Low self-esteem - children feel they are not good at many things - need to develop the	ir aspirations and resilience
C.	Although the children are taught good decoding skills their inference and deduction skills are limited	
Ex	ternal barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)	
D.	Low attendance – some parents have difficulty ensuring the children attend school on a regular bas	is
E.	Parental support/ poor home learning environment – children need support to be able to complete the	neir homework.
4. D	esired outcomes (Desired outcomes and how they will be measured)	Success criteria
A.	High quality teaching across Y3-Y6. Professional development. Smaller classes in Y5/6 – high quality teaching across Y3-Y6.	More focussed learning - %tge of attainment increases at EXS and GDS across the school.
B.	Improve the percentage of disadvantaged children attaining EXS level in EPAS so in line with non-disadvantaged in school	Narrow the gap further
C.	All PP children have good attendance – attendance focussed on half termly and acted upon if low – increase parental knowledge around attendance and why it is important	Attendance is in line with national for all groups
D.	Behaviour, social and emotional support provided for pupils who need it.	Improved social and emotional outcomes for all.
E.	Targeted academic support - Ensure disadvantaged pupils continue to meet attainment in reading, writing and maths with that of the non-disadvantaged pupils and narrow the gap with their peers in achieving greater depth standard.	Disadvantaged pupils do as well as non-disadvantaged across the school.

5. Planned expenditure

Academic year 20

2020-2021

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies

i. Quality of teaching for all

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Gap narrows between disadvantaged and non- disadvantaged pupils in GPS at GDS to 10%	Close tracking of PP children to ensure making good attainment and progress across the school	NFER report supporting the attainment of disadvantaged pupils using paired or small group teaching	Termly tracking Teachers held accountable for PP pupils Early interventions where needed Spelling programme for all to follow Resources bought to develop grammar	HT	Termly
Small classes in Year 5 and 6 (extra teacher in each class) TA's deployed effectively supporting and aiding children.					

More disadvantaged pupils achieve GDS in writing and maths Narrow the gap to at least 10%	Data tracking meetings termly	NFER report highlights data driven schools which focus on early intervention rather than end of key stage raised attainment more effectively.	Termly data tracking Book Scrutiny	HT	Termly
To ensure quality first teaching for all by increasing the quality and focus of CPD	Performance management SIA teaching reviews Staff training Moderation Spelling Grammar and punctuation Maths 'Power Maths.'	Lesson observations/book scrutiny Lesson observations The NFER report reiterates that this can lead to an improvement of 18.7%	Regular reviews CPD evaluations and post training expectations (work reflected in books, changes to practise in lesson observations etc) Changes to practise and impact on teaching and learning	HT	Termly Work scrutinies CPD audit
Small group interventions Focussed support via support staff to classes/pupils who require it	Interventions put in place for children not reaching target or EOY	Smaller ratios of staff: pupils NFER report highlights data driven schools which focus on early intervention rather than at the end of the key stage raised attainment more effectively	Regular meetings with teachers Work scrutiny	НТ	Termly
			Total bu	dgeted cost	£154'721

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Learning resources	Buy relevant resources to provide enriching curriculum	So all children have same opportunities	Measure impact of resources	НТ	Termly
CPD/Interventions	Staff training in relation to specific interventions maths and reading	Specific interventions focussed on particular groups of children	Measure impact from starting point	Co-ordinators /HT	Termly
To continue to improve the percentage of children attaining EXS in GPS so that the gap narrows to only maximum of 5%. Disadvantaged 85% compared to non-disadvantaged 87%.	Quality first teaching Targeted support for individual pupils as and when required.	Data shows EXS for disadvantaged less than other pupils in school	Monitoring and data analysis	НТ	Termly
			Total bu	udgeted cost	£15'404

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Attendance initiatives	To encourage improved attendance	Disadvantaged children's attendance not in line with National or non-disadvantaged pupils	Attendance improves	НТ	Termly
			Total bu	dgeted cost	£2'000

6. Review of expenditure

Previous Academi	c Year	2019-2020 - £172'580			
i. Quality of teaching for all					
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost	

Gap narrows between	Close tracking of PP	Monitored and pupil progress meetings termly	Continue to closely monitor and track the data of PP children in GPS.	
disadvantaged and non-	children to ensure	2019 SAT results		
disadvantaged pupils in	making good attainment	86% PP gained EXS in GPS compared to 91% NPP –	Continue with 3 small classes in year 5 and Year 6.	04051000
GPS to 10% maximum	and progress	however national figure was 78% so grammar above national	·	£105'000
		figure.	Continue to deploy TA's across all year groups.	
More disadvantaged pupils		<u>GPS</u>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
achieve GDS in writing-		Year 3 Spring	Continue with high quality teaching across the school including CPD.	
narrow the gap to 10%	Data tracking meetings	0% PP GDS compared to 0% NPP		
maximum	termly	Year 4 Spring		
		10% PP GDS compared to 15% NPP		
Small classes in Year 5 and		Year 5 Spring		
6		34% PP GDS compared to 33% NPP		
(extra teacher in each year		Year 6 Spring		
group)		36% PP GDS compared to 43% NPP		
		No gap greater than 10%		
TA's deployed effectively				
supporting and aiding				
children.		2019 SAT results writing		
		97% PP gained EXS in writing compared to 91% NPP in		
		writing – national figure was 78%.		

Data tractica a sectiona	NEED report highlights date drives ashable which feeve as	No od to popultos to populso populso dispalyanto no dispalyanto no dispalyanto	
o o			
termly		standard in writing, maths and GPS.	
	attainment more effectively.		
	GDS in writing		
	Year 3 Spring		
	30% PP GDS compared to 33% NPP		
	Year 4 Spring		
	16% PP GDS compared to 20% NPP		
	Year 5 Spring		
	31% PP GDS compared to 17% NPP		
	Year 6 Spring		
	43% PP GDS compared to 50% NPP		
	No gap greater than 10% for PP doing worse than NPP		
	2019 SAT results		
	34% of pupils gained GDS in writing compared to 55% NPP children. Year 6 Spring data 2020 indicated this gap was due to decrease from 19 to 7.		
	The national GDS figure was 20% so PP children above		
	disadvantaged compared to or in disadvantaged pupils (2013)		
	Data tracking meetings termly	early intervention rather than end of key stage raised attainment more effectively. GDS in writing Year 3 Spring 30% PP GDS compared to 33% NPP Year 4 Spring 16% PP GDS compared to 20% NPP Year 5 Spring 31% PP GDS compared to 17% NPP Year 6 Spring 43% PP GDS compared to 50% NPP No gap greater than 10% for PP doing worse than NPP 2019 SAT results 34% of pupils gained GDS in writing compared to 55% NPP children. Year 6 Spring data 2020 indicated this gap was due to decrease from 19 to 7.	termly early intervention rather than end of key stage raised attainment more effectively. GDS in writing Year 3 Spring 30% PP GDS compared to 33% NPP Year 4 Spring 16% PP GDS compared to 20% NPP Year 5 Spring 31% PP GDS compared to 17% NPP Year 6 Spring 43% PP GDS compared to 50% NPP No gap greater than 10% for PP doing worse than NPP 2019 SAT results 34% of pupils gained GDS in writing compared to 55% NPP children. Year 6 Spring data 2020 indicated this gap was due to decrease from 19 to 7. The national GDS figure was 20% so PP children above national figure. There were more 11 HA at KS1 non-

To ensure quality first teaching for all by increasing the quality and focus of CPD	Performance management SIA teaching reviews	All classes made good progress PP children made just as good progress as other children across Y3,4 and 5 as well as in Y6. Y6 progress - Reading 4.9 compared to 4.2 non-disadvantaged; writing 4.1 compared to 5.0 non-disadvantaged and maths 3.2 compared to 4.9 non-disadvantaged. TA support used effectively to support children in English and maths lessons. Ta's also used to deliver interventions. Results evidence impact.	We will continue with relevant CPD for all staff.	
Increased support staff – small group interventions	TA's deployed effectively	Results evidence impact	Budget restrictions for increased support staff – support staff to be deployed as and where needed most	
ii. Targeted supp	ort			
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
Learning resources	Relevant resources bought to provide enriching curriculum	All children were equipped with necessary resources	Having necessary resources makes learning more engaging and enriching	
CPD Intervention	To ensure certain individuals are targeted	Results evidence impact	Need to focus on GDS moving into next year further but developing across all year groups	

To improve the percentage of children attaining EXS in GPS so that the gap narrows to only maximum of 5%. Disadvantaged 86% compared to non-disadvantaged 91%.(2019)	Quality first teaching Targeted interventions for maths and reading CPD for teaching of inference and deduction skills and reasoning	Results evidence impact 86% of PP children compared to 91% NPP.	Targeted interventions worked	£69'500.
iii. Other approac	hes	<u>l</u>		
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost

7. Additional detail

We pride ourselves on being a fully inclusive school. We strive to get the best outcomes for all our pupils. We provide an engaging and enriching curriculum. All our teachers provide excellent quality teaching for all pupils. We provide lots of opportunities for all of our pupils. We have classroom assistants supporting every year group – classroom assistants are trained in interventions for maths and reading. Having 3 small classes in Year 5 and Year 6 enables staff to focus on children in preparation for KS2 SATs with pleasing outcomes evident.