## Pupil premium strategy

1. Summary information							
School	Biddick Hall Junior School						
Academic Year	2016/2017	Total PP budget	£184'660	Date of most recent PP Review			
Total number of pupils	223	Number of pupils eligible for PP	142	Date for next internal review of this strategy	Jan 17		

2. Cı	irrent attainment					
		Pupils eligible for PP Year 6 July 2017(33)	Pupils not eligible for PP Year 6 July 2017 (20)			
% ach	ieving Expected Level in reading	75.8	95			
% ach	ieving greater depth standard in reading	15.2	30			
% ach	ieving Expected Level in writing	97	95			
% ach	ieving greater depth standard in writing	24.2	45			
% ach	ieving Expected Level in maths	84.4	95			
% ach	ieving greater depth standard in writing	6.1	30			
3. Ba	rriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)	•				
In-sch	ool barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language	ge skills)				
Α.	SEN – High percentage of our disadvantaged children have SEN needs					
В.	Engagement – A lot of the pupils are not engaged in school – Inspire Me Project to try and raise aspirations for a group of children					

C.	Low self esteem – children feel they are not good at many things – need to develop their aspirations					
Ex	External barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)					
D.	Low attendance - some parents have difficulty ensuring the children attend school on a regular bas	is.				
E.	Parental support/ poor home learning environment - children need support to be able to complete the	heir homework.				
4. D	<b>4. Desired outcomes</b> (Desired outcomes and how they will be measured)       Success criteria					
Α.	All PP children have good attendance – attendance focussed on half termly and acted upon if low Attendance is in line with national					
В.	Specific interventions for certain individuals Progress is good for those groups of children					
С.	Smaller classes – high quality teaching         More focussed learning - %tge of attainment increases					
D.	More support staff employed     Outcomes increase for all pupils					

5. Planned expenditure					
Academic year	2016-2017	,			
The three headings targeted support an <b>i. Quality of teac</b>	d support whole sch	ols to demonstrate how they are a ool strategies	using the Pupil Premium to imp	rove classroor	m pedagogy, provide
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?

More children achieving EOY expectations	Smaller classes inY5/6 Close tracking of PP	More teacher focus on individuals in a smaller class NFER report supporting the attainment of	Monitored and pupil progress meetings termly	HT/DHT	Termly
	children to ensure making good attainment and progress	disadvantaged pupils using paired or small group teaching			
Good and outstanding teachers across the whole school	Performance management SIA teaching reviews Staff training Intervention training Moderation Vocabulary Maths	Lesson observations/book scrutiny Lesson observations The NFER report reiterates that this can lead to an improvement of 18.7%	Regular reviews	HT	Termly
Increased support staff – small group interventions	Every class to have full time support	Smaller ratios of staff: pupils NFER report highlights data driven schools which focus on early intervention rather than at the end of the key stage raised attainment more effectively	Regular meetings with teachers Work scrutiny	HT	Termly
			Total bu	dgeted cost	£100'907
ii. Targeted supp	ort				
Desired outcome	Chosen action /	What is the evidence and	How will you ensure it is	Staff lead	When will you review
	approach	rationale for this choice?	implemented well?		implementation?
Learning resources	Buy relevant resources to provide enriching curriculum	So all children have same opportunities	Measure impact of resources	HT	Termly

CPD/Interventions	Staff training in relation to specific interventions maths and reading	Specific interventions focussed on particular groups of children	Measure imp	pact from starting point	Co-ordinators /HT	Termly	
				Total b	udgeted cost	£69'293	
iii. Other approac	hes						
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?		I you ensure it is ented well?	Staff lead	When will you r implementatior	
To develop the whole child through broadening their real life experience Educational Visits	So all children have same opportunities	Some children do not experience the same things as others – we want everyone to have the same opportunity	Monitor levels of pupil interest and engagement		HT	Termy	
				Total b	udgeted cost	£15'000	
6. Review of exp	enditure				_	L	
Previous Academi	c Year	2015-2016 £192'880					
i. Quality of teac	hing for all						
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	<b>Estimated impact:</b> Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.		Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approac		th this approach)	Cost

More children achieving EOY expectations	Small Classes in Y5/6	<ul> <li>71% of all pupils achieved EOY expectations in RWM compared to 53% nationally and 57%LA.</li> <li>64.1% PP children compared to 45.6% LA.</li> <li>2016 Progress Measures. PP children reading 1.5; writing 7.82 and maths 3.26 compared to NPP children 0.36 reading , 7.85 writing and 1.51 maths.</li> <li>Pupil premium funding is used effectively to support disadvantaged pupils. As a result, the pupils make at least good progress as they move through the school. Teachers and support assistants provide very effective support to ensure pupils have the right resources to help their learning.</li> </ul>	We will continue to have small classes in Y5/6. Track PP children very closely to ensure making good progress and on track to achieve in reading, writing and maths.	£94'890
Pupil:staff ratio smaller	Increased support staff	All classes made good progress PP children made just as good progress as other children across Y3,4 and 5 as well as in Y6. TA support used effectively to support children in English and maths lessons. Ta's also used to deliver interventions. Results evidence impact.	We will continue with increased support staff to support pupils in their learning.	
ii. Targeted supp	ort			
Desired outcome	Chosen action	Estimated impact: Did you meet the	Lessons learned	Cost
	/ approach	success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	(and whether you will continue with this approach)	
Learning resources	Relevant resources bought to provide enriching curriculum	All children were equipped with necessary resources	Having necessary resources makes learning more engaging and enriching	£52'990
Computing Resources	To ensure all children keep up to date with latest technology	All children have access to i-pads as and when required	Computing resources all updated – no need for next academic year	£30'000
iii. Other approac	hes			
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	<b>Estimated impact:</b> Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost

Educational Visits	So all children have same opportunities	All children able to attend visits so an enriching curriculum is provided	This will continue as no child feels left out. All educational visits are free.	£15'000

## 7. Additional detail

We pride ourselves on being a fully inclusive school. We strive to get the best outcomes for all our pupils. We provide an engaging and enriching curriculum. All our teachers provide good quality teaching for all pupils. We provide lots of opportunities for all pupils. We have classroom assistants supporting every year group – classroom assistants are trained in interventions for maths and reading. Having 3 small classes in Year 5 and Year 6 enables staff to focus on children in preparation for KS2 SATs with pleasing outcomes evident.